

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 2/25/2020 4:48:41 AM

First name: Karen

Last name: Sheets

Organization:

Title:

Comments: I have recently reviewed the released Nez Perce-Clearwater Forest Plan Revision Draft Environmental Impact Statement and Draft Revised Forest Plan. None of the alternatives presented reflect the management I would like to see implemented for the Hoodoo Roadless Area. Please accept these comments into the formal record.

I'm a national forest user who has recreated in the Great Burn (Hoodoo Roadless Area).

My husband and I have made several trips into the Montana side of the Great Burn with our children. Adding the portion of the Great Burn on the Idaho side is what is needed to protect the security of wildlife, the diversity of experiences, the grizzly migration movement corridor between the Cabinet-Yaak area and the Bitterroot, the headwaters areas of the Clearwater River (clean water, fishery protection).

Protect the entire 151,874 acres in the MWA proposal as Recommended Wilderness

The proposed additions to the Great Burn area (as well as the existing Great Burn study area should be managed for non-motorized recreation including bicycles. This assures protection for the grizzly travel corridor as well as security for the other wildlife that niche here. It also will lessen erosion damage to the fragile soils of the sub-alpine environment. With global climate change we need to be thinking ahead to wildlife and hydrologic security.

Protect Cayuse Creek and all the forks of Kelly Creek as Wild streams. As mentioned in previous comments, they are headwaters for our nation's most valuable resource: clean water.

Thank you to the forest planning team for taking my comments into consideration when making this plan.