

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 1/22/2020 5:41:51 PM

First name: Cale

Last name: Christi

Organization:

Title:

Comments: 1/22/2020

TO: PNW Regional Forester, Objections Reviewing Officer

Subject: 36 CFR 218 Objection Pacific Connector Pipeline Site Specific Plan Amendments for the Umpqua, Rogue River-Siskiyou, and Fremont-Winema National Forests

Dear Forest Service:

In accordance with 36 C.F.R. Part 218, I, Cale Christi, hereby object to the project described below.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The Forest Service proposes to approve 30.6 miles of the Pacific Connector Pipeline route across the National Forest System. This proposal includes approximately 591 acres of forests for the construction of the Pipeline Project and an additional 186 acres of permanent right of way. This decision would allow crossing of 10.8 miles on the Umpqua Nation Forest in Douglas County, 13.7 miles on the Rouge River Siskiyou National Forest in Jackson County, and 6 miles on the Fremont-Winema National Forest in Klamath County.

PROJECT LOCATION (Forest/District): Umpqua, Rogue River-Siskiyou, and Fremont-Winema National Forests, Douglas, Jackson, and Klamath Counties, Oregon.

NAME AND TITLE OF RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: Alice B. Carlton, Forest Supervisor and Responsible Official, Umpqua National Forest.

I, Cale Christi, hereby request a public meeting to discuss potential resolution of the issues raised in this objection.

Studying land management in college I learned that it is the duty of the U.S. Forest Service to use it's land to do the greatest good for the greatest number of people. The Jordan Cove LNG project does not serve the people. There would be very few long-term jobs associated with this project. In fact, the economic benefits of the project would largely go to a Canadian corporation, Pembina. Furthermore, this project would ultimately continue us on a path of irreparable damage to the global environment by encouraging the production and burning of fossil fuels which are currently driving global climate change. This reality benefits no one.

I, Cale Christi, respectfully request that the Forest Service deny the recommended project and return to it's philosophy of the greatest good for the greatest number of people. In addition to filing this objection for the above reasons, I submit that: The Forest Service failed to consider an alternative that doesn't require exempting Jordan Cove from adhering to their forest plans,

Late Successional and Riparian Reserves should not be stripped of protections at the behest of a foreign fossil fuel company, the Forest Service did not consider site-specific impacts that pipeline construction would have and must

disclose impacts and plans for mitigation on a site-specific basis, the pipeline plan undermines the Forest Service's "survey-manage" protocol, created to ensure the protection of federally protected endangered species, the Forest Service did not analyze or mitigate for increased fire risk from the LNG pipeline, the cumulative effects of this proposal on watershed, wildlife, and fire management have not been analyzed for their comprehensive "purpose and the effects" as required by law, the proposal fails to meet requirements of the Aquatic Conservation Strategy and should not be exempt from analysis and mitigation of landslides, sedimentation and waterway

degradation.

Sincerely,
Cale Christi