

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 1/10/2020 9:54:42 PM

First name: Mark

Last name: Carson

Organization:

Title:

Comments: Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this proposal. In general I understand that thinning of forests is needed at times to lessen undesired species. The problem with that often is that the methods for that thinning basically destroy that area of the forest -- roads which really never go away, sediment runoff, creek destruction, etc...

Other than My concerns with the proposals in your document are that there is no specifics at all for locations of the proposed actions. The proposal as written is basically a blank check to do whatever, wherever you or lumber companies want.

That brings up another concern which you define pretty well in your proposal. All of the activity seems to be for the benefit of lumber harvest. Our public parks are NOT resources for commercial (and private) profit. If the specific areas for different actions in the proposal could be defined, that concern of private, commercial enrichment could be allayed.

I wish that the proposal could be reviewed again and the specifics for the different aspects defined and the allowed for public review.

thanks,

Mark Carson