Data Submitted (UTC 11): 1/10/2020 1:38:38 PM
First name: David
Last name: Kelman
Organization:
Title:
Comments: I do not support this project. At the highest level, the theme and procedural framework of the project may appear reasonable at first blush, even if the reader is more inclined to allow natural processes freer reign. However, even a cursory examination of the descriptions of "desired conditions" shows a variety of guiding judgements that lack grounding in evidence. Further examination of details indicates a repeated trend towards destructive extraction of the most commercially valuable flora, which further suggests flaws in guiding assumptions. Furthermore, these extractions will in many cases be followed by costly and disruptive management practices that have not yet been proven to be actions that the Forest Service can competently execute, and in many cases have been actions that the Forest Service has failed to execute properly.