

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 1/9/2020 3:30:17 PM

First name: Curtis

Last name: Henson

Organization:

Title:

Comments: The application of herbicide across such a large cross section of land is an embarrassment to the US Forest Service intended purpose. The environmental impact can not be overstated.

Out of 157,000 acres you propose to "harvest" 60,000 acres and burn 50,000??? That only leaves 47,000 unmolested acres unless of course those are destroyed with herbicide.

However, my biggest concern is one of process and here's why: In the past, the Forest Service has decided to drop an average of 243 acres of timber harvest from each project in order to avoid harms identified by the public to wildlife, soil, water, rare species, roadless areas, recreational opportunities, and old-growth.

Those improvements would not have happened without public knowledge, involvement, and scrutiny. But with the Foothills project that public involvement will be sidelined. The public's tools for oversight of the government, the NEPA process, will be completed and no longer in place, right as the Forest Service is making decisions about exactly where their management projects will occur. Please put the NEPA process back into the Foothills plan. Then commit to real collaboration with the public about site-specific management decisions.

It's obvious the US forest Service has become just another puppet of the Corrupt republican trump regime with no regard for the citizens of this great country. SHAME be upon you... we are watching.

Curtis