

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 1/5/2020 5:30:04 PM

First name: Marilyn

Last name: Stapleton

Organization:

Title:

Comments: The Foothills Project fails to inform the public of specific time and location of actions the Forest Service intends to implement. The plan broadly covers so many options that the public is virtually denied any input. Do I generally want trees cut and chipped? NO. Too much of eastern US forests have already been chipped and shipped overseas! Too many roads, even if temporary, insult ecosystem balance. Do I generally want herbicides or insecticides used in the National Forest? NO. Chemicals have potential unintended consequences in ecosystems and must only be a last resort. Do I want controlled burns? Debatable. Not if scarred area is left untreated afterwards. Why would any citizen endorse a long-term, open-ended, blanket project like this? Do I want the Forest Service to protect watersheds, unique ecological areas, encourage balanced ecosystems for native plants and wildlife? YES Would I approve pilot projects that would provide evidence that a particular treatment improves a specific natural area of this forest? YES Every forest is a dynamic and changing entity. We depend upon the Forest Service to implement best management practices, as needed, and respond to natural disasters. No open-ended, 10-year plan can be endorsed if it relieves the Forest Service of their obligation for continued, public interaction.