

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 1/3/2020 5:13:07 AM

First name: Tonia

Last name: Zabloudil

Organization:

Title:

Comments: 1/2/20

TO: PNW Regional Forester, Objections Reviewing Officer

VIA: <https://cara.ecosystem-management.org/Public/CommentInput?project=28132>

Subject: 36 CFR 218 Objection Pacific Connector Pipeline Site Specific Plan Amendments for the Umpqua, Rogue River-Siskiyou, and Fremont-Winema National Forests

Dear Forest Service:

In accordance with 36 C.F.R. Part 218, I, [NAME], hereby object to the project described below.

DOCUMENT TITLE: Opportunity to Object, Plan Amendments for Pacific Connector Gas Pipeline on The Umpqua, Rogue River-Siskiyou, and Fremont-Winema National Forests.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The Forest Service proposes to approve 30.6 miles of the Pacific Connector Pipeline route across the National Forest System. This proposal includes approximately 591 acres of forests for the construction of the Pipeline Project and an additional 186 acres of permanent right of way. This decision would allow crossing of 10.8 miles on the Umpqua Nation Forest in Douglas County, 13.7 miles on the Rogue River Siskiyou National Forest in Jackson County, and 6 miles on the Fremont-Winema National Forest in Klamath County.

PROJECT LOCATION (Forest/District): Umpqua, Rogue River-Siskiyou, and Fremont-Winema National Forests, Douglas, Jackson, and Klamath Counties, Oregon.

NAME AND TITLE OF RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: Alice B. Carlton, Forest Supervisor and Responsible Official, Umpqua National Forest.

TIMELINESS: This objection is timely filed. Notice of the Opportunity to Objection To "Site Specific" Plan Amendments for Pacific Connector Gas Pipeline proposed decision was published in the Federal Register on November 22, 2019). Forty-five days from November 22, 2019 is January 5, 2020.

REQUEST FOR A PUBLIC MEETING TO DISCUSS RESOLUTION: I, [NAME], hereby request a public meeting to discuss potential resolution of the issues raised in this objection.

NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION OF THOSE ASPECTS OF THE PROPOSED DECISION ADDRESSED BY THE OBJECTION:

The Forest Service failed to take a hard look at all of the various concerns associated with the pipeline.

SUGGESTED REMEDIES THAT WOULD RESOLVE THE OBJECTION:

I, Tonia Zabloudil, respectfully request that the Forest Service withdraw the recommended project and 1. Prepare a project that meets the standards and guidelines of the existing land use management plan; or 2. Deny the project.

DESCRIBE HOW THE OBJECTION RELATES TO PRIOR COMMENTS: A statement of your previous participation in the FERC comment process and the reasons for objecting now.

Example: I have expressing my concerns that this pipeline would have negative impacts on public lands by commenting through the FERC process. Once again, I am trying to convey my concerns through an administrative objection to the Forest Service over its proposal to re-designate my public forest lands as a permanent give-away to a fossil fuel corporation.

STATEMENT OF ISSUES RELATED TO THE PROPOSED SITE-SPECIFIC PLAN AMENDMENTS ACTION:

The cumulative effects of this proposal on watershed, wildlife, and fire management have not been analyzed for their comprehensive "purpose and the effects" as required by law.

The proposal fails to meet requirements of the Aquatic Conservation Strategy and should not be exempt from analysis and mitigation of landslides, sedimentation and waterway degradation.

Late Successional and Riparian Reserves should not be stripped of protections at the behest of a foreign fossil fuel company.

Signed,
Tonia Zabloudil