

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 12/28/2019 4:33:55 AM

First name: Jared

Last name: Pace

Organization:

Title:

Comments: This plan is ridiculous. Just like all prior proposals of its kind, in the entire history of the planet, the 10 miles of trail closures will turn into 100 miles of trail closures. Closure of these trails will cause overcrowding on remaining trails, which is NOT a net benefit regarding sustainability. The reality is, that recreational users are not destroying the environment. 2,000,000,000,000 pounds of emissions and unpredictable solar activity are.

They are not destroying these trails. Additionally, the plan contradicts itself -

How could a trail be both "low use" and "unsustainable?"

If something is not of "remarkable destination value," how could it also be "unsustainable" due to over-usage?

At least have the guts to state your actual motives for such a ridiculous proposal - the purpose given is obviously untrue.

I will continue to use the trails regardless of what the government says - it is public property. It belongs to us, not to the authoritarians that have to come up with ridiculous proposals to justify the need for their office to exist.