

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 12/23/2019 12:06:05 AM

First name: Emily

Last name: Church

Organization:

Title:

Comments: Dear Forest Service:

In accordance with 36 C.F.R. Part 218, I, Emily Church, hereby object to the project described below.

DOCUMENT TITLE: Opportunity to Object, Plan Amendments for Pacific Connector Gas Pipeline on The Umpqua, Rogue River-Siskiyou, and Fremont-Winema National Forests.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The Forest Service proposes to approve 30.6 miles of the Pacific Connector Pipeline route across the National Forest System. This proposal includes approximately 591 acres of forests for the construction of the Pipeline Project and an additional 186 acres of permanent right of way. This decision would allow crossing of 10.8 miles on the Umpqua National Forest in Douglas County, 13.7 miles on the Rogue River Siskiyou National Forest in Jackson County, and 6 miles on the Fremont-Winema National Forest in Klamath County.

PROJECT LOCATION (Forest/District): Umpqua, Rogue River-Siskiyou, and Fremont-Winema National Forests, Douglas, Jackson, and Klamath Counties, Oregon.

NAME AND TITLE OF RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: Alice B. Carlton, Forest Supervisor and Responsible Official, Umpqua National Forest.

OBJECTOR: Emily Church

TIMELINESS: This objection is timely filed. Notice of the Opportunity to Objection To "Site Specific" Plan Amendments for Pacific Connector Gas Pipeline proposed decision was published in the Federal Register on November 22, 2019). Forty-five days from November 22, 2019 is January 5, 2020.

REQUEST FOR A PUBLIC MEETING TO DISCUSS RESOLUTION: I, Emily Church, hereby request a public meeting to discuss potential resolution of the issues raised in this objection.

NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION OF THOSE ASPECTS OF THE PROPOSED DECISION

ADDRESSED BY THE OBJECTION:

The Forest Service has failed to disclose site-specific effects that the pipeline would have, and passed over the public's justified concerns with the project. The Forest Service has failed to consider reasonable alternatives to the project.

SUGGESTED REMEDIES THAT WOULD RESOLVE THE OBJECTION:

I, Emily Church, respectfully request that the Forest Service withdraw the recommended project and 1. Prepare a project that meets the standards and guidelines of the existing land use management plan; or 2. Deny the project.

I have continually expressed my concerns that this pipeline would have negative impacts on public lands by commenting through the FERC process. Once again, I am trying to convey my concerns through an administrative objection to the Forest Service over its proposal to re-designate my public forest lands as a permanent give-away to a fossil fuel corporation.

STATEMENT OF ISSUES RELATED TO THE PROPOSED SITE-SPECIFIC PLAN AMENDMENTS ACTION:

I'm objecting because the pipeline plan undermines the Forest Service's "survey-manage" protocol, created to ensure the protection of federally protected endangered species. The cumulative effects of this proposal on watershed, wildlife, and fire management have not been analyzed for their comprehensive "purpose and the effects" as required by law.

Signed,

EMILY CHURCH