

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 12/16/2019 9:00:00 AM

First name: Julia

Last name: King

Organization: Mrs.

Title:

Comments: I am adamantly opposed to opening up logging in the forests of Alaska as proposed in this rule as well as other locations where we have national treasures such as undisturbed temperate forest where trees are mature and ecosystems are stable. With the increased CO2 in our atmosphere we need all of the big trees working for us to capture more of the greenhouse gasses. Appropriate forest management is needed in regions where fire suppression has created huge fuels loads in forests especially in California. Man induced forest imbalance has been substantial in some areas. BUT in habitat where forests are stable such as in Alaska and coastal northern California and elsewhere there should be no logging. I question who will really benefit from logging except for the corporate logging interests who will become even more rich after raiding MY forests. I will never see a benefit from it financially and don't want to. I want to know these forests are untouched and if I never see them myself I desire they be untrammelled. NO LOGGING IN THE FORESTS OF THIS PROPOSED NEW RULE!!!! NO. NO. NO.

[Position]