

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 12/14/2019 9:00:00 AM

First name: Joshua

Last name: Wright

Organization: Mr.

Title:

Comments: I am a 16-year-old long-time resident of the Pacific Northwest and a frequent visitor to the Tongass National Forest. The proposed rule changes, namely alternatives six, five, four, three and, two are not in the interests of biodiversity or climate stability. Additionally, they would hurt the region's tourism and fishing economies and damage the ways of life of subsistence gatherers. This proposed rule change is a travesty, opening up 9,000,000 acres of forest to industrial logging in an era of unprecedented biodiversity loss and global climate change is reckless, irresponsible and a betrayal of my generation. I fully support alternative one in this proposal. The no-action alternative is the only sane and responsible decision. I ask that the USDA take no action and all leave current protections fully in place.

[Position]

[Position]