

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 12/17/2019 9:00:00 AM

First name: Ruth

Last name: Wood

Organization:

Title:

Comments: I am writing in support of Alternative 1, the no action alternative. The roadless rule was instituted to protect our national forests, and that is what it does. There is no reason to make a change, so don't. The purpose of revising the rule is to promote logging of old growth timber. There isn't much of that left, and the value of the old growth trees to the integrity of the forest is the most important factor. Habitat, wildlife, salmon, recreation, subsistence-- all of these activities are more important to Alaska than the logging industry. The only impetus for this is politicians who value campaign donations more than the forest. Keep the roadless rule as is.

[Position]