Data Submitted (UTC 11): 12/17/2019 9:00:00 AM First name: Betsy Last name: Naymon Organization:

Title:

Comments: My name is Betsy Naymon and I live in Richfield, OH. I spend my summers working in the tourism industry in Southeast Alaska. Not only does the majority of the economy of Skagway rely on the tourism that such pristine, untouched wilderness brings, but I also personally rely on the Tongass for recreational purposes which allow me to connect with the outdoors and myself. I am writing a comment on the Alaska Roadless Rule DEIS because I am concerned with how the Rule and the proposed full exemption will impact my the peace and solitude I find in nature, the status of the Tongass as a national and global treasure, the forest's ability to sequester carbon and mitigate climate change impacts, recreating, the conservation of resources for future generations.

Out of the alternatives described in the AKRR DEIS I support alternative 1: no action. It shows the Forest Service is responding to the needs and voices of Southeast Alaskan communities. I depend on roadless areas in the Tongass National Forest for economic livelihood, foraging and gathering wild foods, recreating and enjoying nature, carbon sequestration and local climate change mitigation, keeping public lands wild for future generations, fiscal responsibility and saving taxpayer dollars . A full exemption does not protect these values, nor does it effectively balance economic development and conservation of roadless area characteristics. A full exemption from the Roadless Rule and increased logging and roadbuilding will negatively impact the Tongass and what I and many others use and depend on the forest to provide for us.

The Roadless areas on the Tongass that are especially important to me are those on or around the northern mainland above Port Snettisham (around Juneau), all of the inventoried roadless areas on the Tongass. I want the roadless areas in these locations to stay in roadless status in any alternative selected by the Forest Service, and be managed to provide for the uses and activities I listed above. It is important to me that the T77 and the TNC conservation priority areas retain their roadless protections.

I do not support the Forest Services preferred alternative of a full exemption. A full exemption is not in the interests of Southeast Alaskans who live in and use the Tongass National Forest, because Not only does a full exemption ignore the Southeast Alaskans who spoke out in support of a no action alternative, but getting rid of the Roadless Rule will vastly harm the tourism that I and the rest of Skagway rely on for our livelihoods. We should be focused on making tourism more sustainable to grow the economy and prevent climate change rather than destroying the economy and worsening the climate change we're experiencing.. The State of Alaska says that a full exemption is needed for rural economic development opportunities. However, a full exemption would not help create more rural economic development opportunities, it would instead harm our existing rural economies that are based on the visitor industry and commercial fishing industry.

It would further harm rural economic opportunities because pursuing the same outdated economic model of old growth clearcut harvesting for export stifles innovation and possibility in other sectors, such as mariculture, sustainable young growth harvest, and rural agriculture. If the Forest Service wants to support rural economic development, they should devote resources to support our fishing and visitor industries improve and streamline

existing permitting processes for important community projects rather than rehashing old conflicts.

Please think of the thousands of people who rely on this land, as well as the millions who visit it each year, rather than thinking about how much money you'll personally get from the logging industries.

I urge the Forest Service to prioritize the voices of Southeast Alaskans over those of our political representation and corporate interests. Choosing a full exemption will not create a long lasting, durable solution for roadless areas on the Tongass. It will only increase the legal challenges, uncertainty for businesses, and conflict on the Tongass going forward.

[Position]

[Position]