Data Submitted (UTC 11): 12/17/2019 9:00:00 AM
First name: John
Last name: Schultz
Organization:
Title:
Comments: I would like to point out a mathematical asymmetry in the decision process. Unfortunately, I don't have a better process to suggest.., I'm just a brick-thrower, but it doesn't mean the brick is poorly thrown.

A DECISION FOR ALTERNATIVE 1 IS INHERENTLY TEMPORARY. It can be revisited. I don't know your process intimately enough to know whether it could be revisited in a year, or in 5 years. I will use 5 in the simple calculation below, but of course you can put in the correct number.

in contrast, A DECISION FOR ALTERNATIVE 2 IS RELATIVELY PERMANENT. Certainly it would not take a million years for natural processes to reverse the decision, but an anthropologist 1,000 years, even 10,000 years from now would have no trouble telling the place had been heavily modified. I will use 5,000 years as the number, but of course you can consult a skilled anthropologist and get a different number to use.

That means it takes 5,000/5 = 1000 times longer to reverse one decision than the other..., which means you should be a thousand times more sure of yourself to vote for Alternative 2 than you need to be to vote for Alternative 1. IT'S A CLASSIC "BULL-IN-A-CHINA-SHOP" SITUATION.

UNLESS YOU ARE 99.9% YOUR BEST STRATEGY IS TO DELAY THE DECISION A FEW YEARS BY CHOOSING ALTERNATIVE 1

[POSITION]