

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 12/17/2019 9:00:00 AM

First name: Shane

Last name: H

Organization:

Title:

Comments: I am writing to support the No-Action Alternative, #1, for the Draft Environmental Impact Statement on the proposed Alaska Roadless Rule, and to oppose any reduction of protections for national forest roadless areas. Seeing how this is an entirely political decision that has been predetermined by the secretary of Agriculture, it's unfortunate that the Forest Service will ignore the public's comments and go against the very values the agency touts of service to the American people, and the planet. The interdependence of all things people and nature and the potential harm to the earth by allowing the development to the country's largest national forest for the present and future generations by removing the roadless rule. The Forest Service's value of conservation definition is Protection when necessary. Preservation when appropriate. Restoration, when needed, and wise management for multiple use and enjoyment always. Caving in to pressures of a vocal minority is not prudent management of the Tongass for the American people.

I support the No-Action alternative to keep the current Roadless Rule protections in place for Alaska's national forests. The removal of the roadless rule by the Forest Service leadership will tear to shreds any credibility that will be left after the roadless rule has been rescinded regarding their mission.

[Position]

[Position]