Data Submitted (UTC 11): 12/11/2019 7:42:30 PM

First name: Alice Last name: Levey Organization:

Title:

Comments: December 11, 2019

TO: PNW Regional Forester, Objections Reviewing Officer

VIA: https://cara.ecosystem-management.org/Public/CommentInput?project=28132

Subject: 36 CFR 218 Objection Pacific Connector Pipeline Site Specific Plan Amendments for the Umpqua,

Rogue River-Siskiyou, and Fremont-Winema National Forests

Dear Forest Service:

In accordance with 36 C.F.R. Part 218, I, Alice Levey, hereby object to the project described below.

DOCUMENT TITLE: Opportunity to Object, Plan Amendments for Pacific Connector Gas Pipeline on The Umpqua, Rogue River-Siskiyou, and Fremont-Winema National Forests.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The Forest Service proposes to approve 30.6 miles of the Pacific Connector Pipeline route across the National Forest System. This proposal includes approximately 591 acres of forests for the construction of the Pipeline Project and an additional 186 acres of permanent right of way. This decision would allow crossing of 10.8 miles on the Umpqua Nation Forest in Douglas County, 13.7 miles on the Rouge River Siskiyou National Forest in Jackson County, and 6 miles on the Fremont-Winema National Forest in Klamath County.

PROJECT LOCATION (Forest/District): Umpqua, Rogue River-Siskiyou, and Fremont-Winema National Forests, Douglas, Jackson, and Klamath Counties, Oregon.

NAME AND TITLE OF RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: Alice B. Carlton, Forest Supervisor and Responsible Official, Umpqua National Forest.

I, Alice Levey, hereby request a meeting to discuss potential resolution of the issues raised in this objection.

The plan to approve the Jordan Cove LNG Pipeline violates the Forest Service management plan in order to serve the profitability of an export gas company. The burden of longterm and permanent environmental damage of this project will fall on the people of Oregon and this would include irreparable damage to rivers, forests, and the local economy that depends on a clean environment for recreation, fishing and farming. I strongly oppose any approval or expediting of a fossil fuel export pipeline through these public lands. There has never been a leak-proof pipeline to date. The environmental damage from the pipeline installation and the possibility of leaks and fires is too risky. The potential environmental damage is enormous while the benefits will accrue to a few. What is the Forest Service's interest in helping an export gas company? Let's not risk our vitally important forests and waterways for a gas company's profits and a few jobs at the expense of our irreplaceable environment.

I, Alice Levey, respectfully request that the Forest Service withdraw the recommended LNG pipeline project to protect our local public lands and not cater to demands of a private export gas company.