

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 12/11/2019 9:00:00 AM

First name: Charles

Last name: Yearwood

Organization:

Title:

Comments: My name is Charles Yearwood and I live in Sitka, Alaska. I have lived in Southeast Alaska for over 15 years. I operate a fish tender/packer with my wife and 3 children as a portion of my crew. In order to stay in business and support my family I need good healthy habitats for salmon to flourish in. My children love our lifestyle and this type of work, I hope to pass my business off to them one day, if we haven't depleted all our fish and their habitats. I am writing a comment on the Alaska Roadless Rule DEIS because I am concerned with how the Rule and the proposed full exemption will impact my fishing, hunting, subsistence harvesting, foraging for wild foods, the peace and solitude I find in nature, recreating, the status of the Tongass as a national and global treasure, the forest's ability to sequester carbon and mitigate climate change impacts, the conservation of resources for future generations .

Out of the alternatives described in the AKRR DEIS I support alternative 1: no action. the rule is working fine as it is. I depend on roadless areas in the Tongass National Forest for economic livelihood, healthy fish habitat, deer habitat and subsistence hunting, recreating and enjoying nature, carbon sequestration and local climate change mitigation, viewing wildlife, keeping public lands wild for future generations, fiscal responsibility and saving taxpayer dollars . A full exemption does not protect these values, nor does it effectively balance economic development and conservation of roadless area characteristics. A full exemption from the Roadless Rule and increased logging and roadbuilding will negatively impact the Tongass and what I and many others use and depend on the forest to provide for us.

The Roadless areas on the Tongass that are especially important to me are those on or around Baranof Island, Prince of Wales Island, Wrangell and Etolin Islands, all of the inventoried roadless areas on the Tongass. I want the roadless areas in these locations to stay in roadless status in any alternative selected by the Forest Service, and be managed to provide for connections from communities intertie and transmission line construction hydroelectric development passive or active watershed restoration of salmon streams and wildlife habitat medium-impact recreation development such as Forest Service cabins, trails, mooring buoys, and 3-sided shelters low-impact recreation such as camping hiking hunting and fishing. It is important to me that the T77 and the TNC conservation priority areas retain their roadless protections.

I do not support the Forest Services preferred alternative of a full exemption. A full exemption is not in the interests of Southeast Alaskans who live in and use the Tongass National Forest, because I believe an exemption doesn't respect my opinions or desires for the area nor my families. I also believe that it's harmful to my business future and my family's way of life. Southeast Alaska has one of the few places left in the United States that has valuable renewable resources that are still abundant enough to support local communities. We don't want our lands to be raped and pillaged for the short term gains of a few, we would prefer that they stay a long-term gain for the many of our communities ! The State of Alaska says that a full exemption is needed for rural economic development opportunities. However, a full exemption would not help create more rural economic development opportunities, it would instead harm our existing rural economies that are based on the visitor industry and commercial fishing industry.

It would further harm rural economic opportunities because pursuing the same outdated economic model of old growth clearcut harvesting for export stifles innovation and possibility in other sectors, such as mariculture, sustainable young growth harvest, and rural agriculture. If the Forest Service wants to support rural economic development, they should devote resources to support our fishing and visitor industries invest in creating and maintaining recreation infrastructure improve and streamline existing permitting processes for important community projects rather than rehashing old conflicts.

I urge the Forest Service to prioritize the voices of Southeast Alaskans over those of our political representation and corporate interests. Choosing a full exemption will not create a long lasting, durable solution for roadless areas on the Tongass. It will only increase the legal challenges, uncertainty for businesses, and conflict on the Tongass going forward.

[Position]

[Position]