

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 10/29/2019 8:00:00 AM

First name: Susan

Last name: Marsh

Organization:

Title:

Comments: proposed exemptions from roadless rule

Alternate 6, the most potentially destructive, is the preferred? Alts. 2 - 4 might be acceptable. I say this not because I think all roadless lands should remain so forever (though that is close to what I think), but because of the well-documented destruction of salmon rearing habitat as well as other fisheries as a result of past logging. I wouldn't expect the practices to differ much from what has happened in the past, so prudence suggests that we allow these fisheries to thrive instead of silting up the streams and scarring the land.

Susan Marsh

Jackson WY

[Position]