

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 12/8/2019 9:00:00 AM

First name: Ben

Last name: Clark

Organization:

Title:

Comments: My name is Ben Clark and I live in Sitka, AK. I have lived in Southeast Alaska for the past 12 years. I have spent countless hours enjoying and recreating in the Tongass National Forest. Growing up in Ohio, I would never have guessed there were places like Southeast Alaska still protected. The wildness, the wildlife, and the ability of locals to be able to live and work off the land was what made me want to call this place home. I am writing a comment on the Alaska Roadless Rule DEIS because I am concerned with how the Rule and the proposed full exemption will impact my fishing, subsistence harvesting, foraging for wild foods, the peace and solitude I find in nature, recreating, the status of the Tongass as a national and global treasure, the forest's ability to sequester carbon and mitigate climate change impacts, the conservation of resources for future generations .

Out of the alternatives described in the AKRR DEIS I support alternative 1: no action. The rule is working fine as it is by balancing the conservation of our fish and wildlife habitat with important development projects.. I depend on roadless areas in the Tongass National Forest for healthy fish habitat, foraging and gathering wild foods, recreating and enjoying nature, carbon sequestration and local climate change mitigation, viewing wildlife, keeping public lands wild for future generations. A full exemption does not protect these values, nor does it effectively balance economic development and conservation of roadless area characteristics. A full exemption from the Roadless Rule and increased logging and roadbuilding will negatively impact the Tongass and what I and many others use and depend on the forest to provide for us.

The Roadless areas on the Tongass that are especially important to me are those on or around Baranof Island, Chichagof Island, Admiralty Island, all of the inventoried roadless areas on the Tongass Yakutat forelands, Revillagigedo Island (near Ketchikan), Prince of Wales Island, Wrangell and Etolin Islands, Kuiu Island, Kupreanof Island, the southern mainland from Bradfield Canal to Dixon Entrance the central mainland from Hobart Bay to Stikine River, the northern mainland above Port Snettisham (around Juneau). I want the roadless areas in these locations to stay in roadless status in any alternative selected by the Forest Service, and be managed to provide for the uses and activities I listed above. It is important to me that the T77 and the TNC conservation priority areas retain their roadless protections.

I do not support the Forest Services preferred alternative of a full exemption. A full exemption is not in the interests of Southeast Alaskans who live in and use the Tongass National Forest, because Southeast Alaska is a unique and special place, which stems from its vast intact roadless areas that provide large habitats for wildlife and lifetimes of recreation and subsistence lifestyles. And living in Southeast Alaska, we have a responsibility to provide this unique lifestyle to others now and for generations to come. We need to protect this treasured land, while also continuing to focus on more sustainable economies. Southeast Alaska has the ability to be the role model for other regions throughout the world in developing sustainable ways to work and play in and around nature.. The State of Alaska says that a full exemption is needed for rural economic development opportunities. However, a full exemption would not help create more rural economic development opportunities, it would instead harm our existing rural economies that are based on the visitor industry and commercial fishing industry.

It would further harm rural economic opportunities because pursuing the same outdated economic model of old growth clearcut harvesting for export stifles innovation and possibility in other sectors, such as mariculture, sustainable young growth harvest, and rural agriculture. If the Forest Service wants to support rural economic development, they should devote resources to support our fishing and visitor industries invest in creating and maintaining recreation infrastructure transition to second growth logging improve and streamline existing permitting processes for important community projects rather than rehashing old conflicts.

Please listen to the people in Southeast Alaska, the state of Alaska, and around the country that do not want to see the Tongass change. In terms of federally designated areas, roadless does not mean wilderness. Development is and can continue to be possible. But, let's make sure to take no action now so that the heart of the Tongass does not change.

Thank you for your time, consideration, and your continued work on managing our national forests, which are the treasures to our country.

I urge the Forest Service to prioritize the voices of Southeast Alaskans over those of our political representation and corporate interests. Choosing a full exemption will not create a long lasting, durable solution for roadless areas on the Tongass. It will only increase the legal challenges, uncertainty for businesses, and conflict on the Tongass going forward.

[Position]

[Position]