

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 11/21/2019 12:46:14 AM

First name: Gordon

Last name: III

Organization:

Title:

Comments: Recreation Fees

Dear Willamette and Deschutes National Forests,

Dear U.S. Forest Service:

I'm adamantly opposed to your proposal to charge people for simply taking a walk in the in the Mount Jefferson, Mount Washington and Three Sisters Wilderness areas in the Oregon Cascades.

Your proposed fee violates the intent and purpose of the Wilderness Act, including protecting Wildernesses from commercialization and commodification. Wilderness areas belong to all of the American people. They are an irreplaceable birthright to all our citizens, open to all the public and not just those wealthy enough to pay additional fees. All citizens across the nation already own the Wildernesses in the National Wilderness Preservation System and we have paid for them with our taxes. It is simply unjust and unconscionable to charge people to visit the Wilderness they already own. These fees would also be ILLEGAL under the Federal Lands Recreation Enhancement Act and would exclude the public from accessing and enjoying their public lands.

The Mount Jefferson, Mount Washington and Three Sisters Wilderness Areas already plan to require limited-access permits starting next year to prevent overcrowding and resource damage. While I support quotas to protect Wilderness areas from being over-run by people, I'm completely opposed to the federal government charging hikers a fee simply to take a walk in the Wilderness.

This fee proposal is unprecedented as the Willamette and Deschutes National Forests would be the first national forest in the U.S. to implement a fee system across three Wilderness areas that will charge for all overnight use plus day use at 19 trailheads across 450,000-plus acres of Wilderness.

The USFS is incorrectly claiming authority for charging such fees under a clause in the Federal Lands Recreation Enhancement Act (FLREA) that allows a fee for "specialized recreation uses" such as group activities, recreation events, and motorized recreational vehicles. Congress never meant that to apply to private individuals who are hiking, walking, horseback riding and camping in a completely undeveloped part of a national forest. Those are not "SPECIALIZED recreation uses" under any possible definition or interpretation of that phrase.

Such fees would set an unacceptable and legally unenforceable precedent for other Wilderness areas around the country and I demand you abandon your fee scheme for the Mount Jefferson, Mount Washington and Three Sisters Wilderness areas. Save the taxpayers the money it will cost to attempt to defend the indefensible in court, and just stop it. Do your job.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Gordon Parker III

2609 Acequia Dr SW

Albuquerque, NM 87105