

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 10/14/2019 7:32:36 PM

First name: Anon

Last name: Rick

Organization:

Title:

Comments: Re: Wilderness permit proposal

Thank you for the response.

I'm a true believer in protecting and preserving our beautiful areas for generations to come. But at the same time, believe in preserving public land for hunting.

I'll gladly pay any fees for the preservation of both...

Thanks again.

Rick

Sent from my iPhone

> On Oct 14, 2019, at 9:17 AM, FS-Willamette NF Recreation Fee Comments

<willametterecfeecomments@usda.gov> wrote:

>

> Hi Rick,

>

> One of the details not included in many of the articles is that archery hunters are exempt from needing to get permits. Hunters still may have to pay the fee, but they would not have to get a limited entry permit.

>

>

> Matt Peterson

> Recreation Program Manager

> Forest Service

> Willamette National Forest

> p: 541-225-6421

> c: 541-735-1223

> matt.peterson@usda.gov

> 3106 Pierce Parkway, Suite D

> Springfield, OR 97477

>

> <https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=www.fs.usda.gov%2Fwillamette&data=02%7C01%7C%7C3ec00951b6ec4258341408d750dd43e1%7Ced5b36e701ee4ebc867ee03cfa0d4697%7C0%7C0%7C637066783558584859&data=hi56Zgw891Akob%2Bc8ev5xjMj%2FhLZ6%2BVuR29zFqtp7Pc%3D&reserved=0>

>

> Caring for the land and serving people

>

>

>

>

>

> -----Original Message-----

> From: rick pettit [mailto:rickjames1257@yahoo.com]

> Sent: Sunday, October 13, 2019 7:01 AM

> To: FS-Willamette NF Recreation Fee Comments <willametterecfeecomments@usda.gov>

> Subject: Wilderness permit proposal

>

> As a archery hunter I oppose the 2020 Wilderness Permit bill that will limit those of us who archery hunt in wilderness areas in the month of September, and rifle hunters who start as early as October are off he hook. Both rifle hunters and archery hunters pay the same amount to the state to hunt public land through licenses and tag fees.

> It's not the additional fees I oppose but rather limiting the number of of permits available.

> Hunters with valid licenses should be exempt from the limit, or at least be given "hunter license" access rights as long as the "new" fee has been paid. If additional fees need to be paid, so be it. But taking away public land for hunting is unacceptable.

>

> Rick Pettit

> PO Box 633

> Columbia City, Oregon

> 97018

>

> Ph: 503-737-4349

>

> Sent from my iPad

>

>

>

>

> This electronic message contains information generated by the USDA solely for the intended recipients. Any unauthorized interception of this message or the use or disclosure of the information it contains may violate the law and subject the violator to civil or criminal penalties. If you believe you have received this message in error, please notify the sender and delete the email immediately.