

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 11/19/2019 9:00:00 AM

First name: Rich

Last name: Waligurski

Organization:

Title:

Comments: After fully reviewing the options and background information I see Alternative 3 (Alt 3) as a better choice instead of Alternative 6 (Alt 6) for a few main reasons.

1. Alt 3 allows for some timber harvesting but not to the extent of Alt 6. Based on the 2016 information from the Forest Service "only 17,000 acres of old-growth and 11,800 acres of young-growth might be harvested over the next 100 years." Therefore, there is no reason to give such a large open ended opportunity for the Alt 6 option to create more reasons to harvest timber.

2. Alt 3 gave a very proactive plan to include the local community and industries both from an economic and a socially responsible view.

3. Alt 3 only removes 3.6 million acres instead of Alt 6 removing all 9.2 million acres from the "roadless rule" allowing for better protection of the remaining acreage. 3.2 mil acres of the 3.6 are in the watershed areas and also have a better opportunity to protect the fragile watersheds.

Thank you,

Rich Waligurski

[Position]

[Position]