Data Submitted (UTC 11): 10/29/2019 4:36:53 PM
First name: Ty
Last name: Kelly
Organization:
Title:
Comments: Hello
The TMP should not further restrict the public's access to the forest. The forest belongs to all user groups. Example would be 17 acres for motorized use out of 1.6 million acres. The "story book" seems to gloss over many issues which is misleading to the public, only after reading through the NEPA do the restrictions become evident. The proposed camping corridors will inherently create more "camping clusters" meaning individuals will be forced to camp next to others taking away their camping experience. Outside the camping corridor means camping within the allotted distance from the center line of the road, which at 30 feet is not only unreasonable but also unsafe. Restrictions on off road travel are a necessary step in the TMP, however the camping corridors and 30 foot restriction should be revised along with the big game retrieval plan. Why wouldn't this apply to all big game species, 50 pound of deer meat weighs the same as 50 pounds of elk meat. Overall I believe the proposed action Alternative 2 is too restrictive and a new alternative or no action should be taken. Also no conserderation seems to be taken on different regions of the forest, there is a noteable between below and above the rim, this should be addressed.
Thank you for the ability to comment. As there are many issues I found within the proposed action the ones mentioned above seem warranted.