

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 10/28/2019 9:28:31 PM

First name: Elizabeth

Last name: Odell

Organization:

Title:

Comments: It's hard really to know really what is the lesser of 'two' evils on the Alternatives.

I will say Alternative 3 is complete trash that will do nothing but continue down the road of making the rural residents, who's lives you affect the most, hate the agency.

And every time more of the public hates the agency the more likely they are to begin subscribing to beliefs that Federal Lands are an unfair, inefficient and outdated system and that the land should be transferred to the state and or made into private property.

And then we all lose.

As far as Alternative 1 vs Alternative 2, I'm going with supporting A1.

I use the roads and camp on the forest a lot.

A1 has the least amount of changes and I feel the way things currently are is pretty decent.

I realize the A-S receives very heavy human use but I don't think blanket restrictions are really the panacea for public use woes.

The main complaints I hear are about OHV's, especially side by sides.

I know each forest has the ability to do as much or as little as they feel is necessary for the TMR.

Perhaps it's better to leave things the way they are in general and work instead on better solutions for how to deal with side by sides and the dispersed campsites that may be receiving too much impact, public education and increased patrols sound like better options.