

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 10/25/2019 5:14:04 PM

First name: Jack

Last name: Dyer

Organization: WHITE MOUNTAIN OPEN TRAILS ASSOCIATION

Title: President

Comments: OK, let's start with TMPs continued reference to 50" vehicles. Unless you've been off planet for some time you must surely realize that 50" is literally last century. Today, and for some time, 85%+ of all sales of OHV vehicles are side-by-sides, which exceed 50". I was out with the forest service surveying the Maverick Trail for needed repairs a couple of years ago and the OHV that the forest service brought for this survey was a side-by-side which exceed 50". 50" will have no more success than the 18th Amendment.

Closing vehicle access to camping areas more than 300' off the road. This would likely close materially more than half of the camp sites currently in use in the forest. People don't want to camp close to the road and they don't want to camp on top of each other. Walking into these camp sites is an option, if you're a minimalist camper and young and fit. So basically, the over 40 campers, campers with children and about 75% of the under 40 campers will be unable to use these sites. I think that useless you can show some specific harm done by allowing continues access to these sites they should remain open to vehicular camping. And when I say harm, I don't mean simply the track in and out of these sites.

Your pretense that roads in the forest not shown in your inventory do not exist is unacceptable. This is less than honest. Many of these roads that do not exist have been in use for years by forest users. As with camping over 300' from an existing road, these roads should remain usable unless you can show a specific reason for their closure.

I find the only alternative in this seriously flawed plan to be the no changes alternative. I see the other alternatives as nothing more than dishonest attempts to over restrict the forest use that pander to environmental extremist.