

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 10/17/2019 8:00:00 AM

First name: Thomas

Last name: Showers

Organization:

Title:

Comments: Roadless Rule

I support Alternative 1. This forest has not only been proven to be unprofitable for timber, but has been subsidized at a loss to tax payers before. Following Alternative 6 or any of the other alternatives would also severely harm the salmon population within the Tongass forest which is a one billion dollar industry. 80% of Alaskan salmon come from the Tongass if you start destroying the forest you start destroying the salmon industry as well. Enacting any alternative other than Alternative 1 would be unprofitable and senseless.

[Position]