Data Submitted (UTC 11): 10/24/2019 2:38:01 PM
First name: Tim
Last name: Gilloon
Organization:
Title:
Comments: It is not fair and equitable to give hunters special consideration over other types of enjoyment. This is discriminatory against everyone else. There should be an option in one of the alternatives that allows for any type of user motorized access to far reaching and remote areas. The resource impacts of a hunter driving a motorized vehicle out and back, one mile from a road, is no less impactful than a camper, birdwatcher, hiker, etc. I suggest an option for some type of first come first serve limited number of permits to allow such travel, such as 1,000 etc. This can include hunters in the limited number, or it can be in addition to allowing hunters special preference, which would still keep cross country travel limited while also allowing other public users the special consideration that the Forests seem to give to one user group.

There should be more than one motorized cross-country "play area" for UTV/ATVs across the Forests. There is no option in any of the alternatives that considers additional "play areas" on other districts or on the Apache side of the Forest.

I support travel management but want to make sure it is being implemented efficiently and equitably. Thank you.