

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 9/27/2019 11:00:00 AM

First name: David

Last name: Bawden

Organization:

Title:

Comments: Please refer to the four pages I've included with this card.

In response to file code 1950; 7700 dated August 29, 2019. Pertaining to revised draft environmental impact statement for the public motorized travel management plan in Apache, Coconino, Greenlee, and Navajo Counties on the Apache Sitgreaves National Forest.

I will start by reminding everyone that this land belongs to we the people, and therefore no organization has the right to make any decisions. I suggest that the revised draft environmental impact statement (revised DEIS) for the public motorized travel management plan is biased and does not represent we the people. That alternative #1 - no action is the only action that does not violate my constitutional right to life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness. That alternative #2 - The proposed action; and Alternative #3 the preferred alternative which is alternative #2 The proposed action, discriminate according to the width of a vehicle, and also discriminate against game retrieval according to species. I believe all big game over 50 pounds, and that the elderly, and the handicap individuals should be allowed to retrieve all game with the help of a motorized vehicle.

I believe the existing roads and trails should not be changed and that we should be allowed to use all existing roads and trails. I also feel we have the right to camp anywhere we desire.

I believe the forest belongs to we the people. I choose alternative #1, no action.