

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 9/5/2019 4:45:18 AM

First name: Daniel

Last name: Sullivan

Organization:

Title:

Comments: After attending the public meeting in Petersburg tonight (9/4/19), I would like to voice my support for the no-action alternative for the Central Tongass Project. While I am not totally opposed to logging on the Tongass, it was apparent that the document did not have enough specific information about timber harvest units to make an informed decision and therefore, I believe no harvest activities should occur. My family and I participate in a wide variety of subsistence activities, primarily on Mitkof Island, Duncan Canal, Lindenburg Peninsula, and Thomas Bay. All of these areas appear to be impacted by the proposed timber harvest. The harvesting old-growth timber on the Tongass benefits only one mill in all of Southeast Alaska and support few jobs. Stimulating the timber economy to high employment levels would necessitate a huge increase in harvest levels that are no longer sustainable. As a final note. this was an uncomfortable public meeting with a condescending tone set by the acting Supervisor who was, in my opinion, quite rude to another participant.