

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 8/30/2019 8:32:29 PM

First name: JON

Last name: SPAR

Organization:

Title:

Comments: In reading over the alternative 2 that is considered the favorable alternative at this point I am concerned for the amount of motorized travel that will be allowed under this alternative.

It is still in my opinion far too much and will be abused as is so often the case.

I would want to see a much greater curtailment of motorized travel with much greater boundaries separating those motorized routes from sensitive areas. If that means the motorized routes have to be entirely eliminated in some instances to protect these sensitive areas from intrusion then that should be done.

The highest priority is NOT allowing motorized conveyances but the protection of sensitive areas and all that is contained within them.

Extinction is the result of too much human intrusion and habitat destruction.

We must save what little remains!