

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 7/25/2019 3:47:53 PM

First name: Timothy

Last name: Rady

Organization:

Title:

Comments: Hello,

I am an avid active outdoor user and live in Colorado, in part, because of the access to the outdoors. I desperately want to maintain my current access, if not expand it, both for me and my children. We bike, hike, fish, boat, snowmobile, and ski, among other activities. I have been made aware of the following issues, listed below:

1. I welcome the brief nature of the RMP and the opportunity to comment on the Proposal prior to the commencement of the formal NEPA process;
2. Presentations to the public have sometimes conflicted with the RMP provisions;
3. The GMUG needs to create better maps for the Proposal, especially in the .pdf format;
4. Travel management should occur on a more localized level than a forest plan;
5. There needs to be flexibility in the ROS management standards for both motorized and non-motorized areas as routes will be missed in the inventory and the inflexibility of current management is a major challenge for planners;
6. The Winter ROS needs extensive review and revision as it proposes massive closures compared to the existing travel management that has been effective for decades;
7. Lynx habitat needs to be managed in accordance with the 2013 LCAS and best available science such as creating separate categories of habitat rather than a single habitat standard for management as all habitat is not created equally; and
8. Continental Divide Trail management must comply with National Trails System Act mandates and the Continental Divide Trail Plan, which specifically states these routes are multiple use routes and not restricted to hiking and horseback only.

I hope you can address some of these concerns in your ongoing review and revision to the draft plan.

Regards,

Tim