

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 6/26/2019 4:24:16 PM

First name: Alexa

Last name: Metrick

Organization:

Title:

Comments: Your interactive storymap is a great resource! Thanks for all the hard work that went into making it! I just finished attending the second webinar and, as the editor of Pack Animal Magazine, just wanted to submit a final comment about FW-STND-SPEC-16.

In February of 2016, an Idaho federal judge found the U.S. Forest Service in contempt of court because they used a flawed study as the basis of a ban ([https://trib.com/outdoors/judge-holds-forest-service-in-contempt-over-wyoming-goat-and/article\\_3fbc9dd5-8b4b-5074-8325-87d937ec0708.html](https://trib.com/outdoors/judge-holds-forest-service-in-contempt-over-wyoming-goat-and/article_3fbc9dd5-8b4b-5074-8325-87d937ec0708.html)). There is no scientific information available that justifies a blanket ban of pack goats of the sort that is outlined in FW-STND-SPEC-16. This standard is a discriminatory attempt to ban a tax-paying user group from public land without any scientific information to justify the ban. Please remove this standard (FW-STND-SPEC-16) from the Working Draft. Thanks so much for the opportunity to contribute to this forest plan! This is my first experience with participating in a working plan, and it was a very good experience.