

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 6/6/2019 11:23:16 PM

First name: Matthew

Last name: DiNome

Organization:

Title:

Comments: When reflecting on the mission statement of the Forest Service, I feel it is very important to stay true to the original intentions, while adjusting to the current state of affairs. The current state of affairs in my mind relate to the idea of Forest sustainability, and accommodating a wide variety of user groups on the Forest. With all of this in mind, I do see an importance to give every entity and group that uses the Forest an opportunity to continue to do whatever it is that they do, however, with a updated shift for 2019. When reviewing the Forest Revision Plan, Alternate C seems to me to be the most well suited for everybody. It is not swayed too far in the direction of Wilderness Advocacy groups, mineral extraction groups, motorized recreationists, sportsman's groups, etc. To me, there is no way to make every group attached to the Forest get exactly what it is that they want, however, a small compromise on everybody's part is what is necessary. For example, I do not love the proposed Stillwater Complex for mineral extraction, however, if it means that 146,555 acres will be recommended for Wilderness, I am willing to make that compromise. On the other hand, Alternate D is designating 711,425 acres of proposed Wilderness with no Stillwater Complex acres. As much as I personally would love for that to happen, I don't see that as a realistic option for everyone's interests. I do understand that what interests me is not necessarily what others may be interested in. With all of this being said, it looks to me like Alternative C is one option that is the most balanced, and I think it is balance that the Forest needs. Thankyou.