

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 6/5/2019 12:58:11 PM

First name: Ruth

Last name: Christensen

Organization:

Title:

Comments: Hello and thank you for allowing comments from those that use these areas. Having grown up locally, I've used the areas that will be impacted by these plans for over 50 years. My family and I use these areas weekly for summer and wintertime recreation (hiking, biking, ATVing, crosscountry skiing and snowmobiling). We would be very disappointed to lose access to the areas we've used for generations.

I support plan revision alternative E which create special use emphasis areas and designates no new wilderness areas. The local Forest Service should have the power to manage the lands. Wilderness designations takes that management ability away from the local departments that know these areas the best.

If some areas must be re-designated as Wilderness, I support the plan that designates as little as possible, such as Forest Plan Revision Alternative B because the Wilderness areas proposed in this plan do not impact existing mechanized and motorized use. No plan should eliminate existing trails and access.

Plan Revision Alternative D is unacceptable. It takes away so many areas that are in use today. There are an incredible amount of year round mechanized and motorized users that would be impacted and those users would be crowded into ever decreasing areas which would only increase the use impact that the Wilderness supporters are trying to prevent. The Plan D impacts to Taylor Fork, Buck Ridge, Tepee, Cabin Creek, Lionhead, Bridger, Hyalite, Crazy Mountain and more are much too vast.