

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 6/6/2019 12:53:32 PM

First name: Wanda

Last name: Ballard

Organization:

Title:

Comments: The Forest Service failed to provide a "No Action" Alternative.

The Forest Service failed to provide a "Preferred" Alternative.

As stated on page 84 2.4.8, code of Federal Regulations 228 "Citizens are guaranteed the right to prospect and explore lands reserved from the public domain and open to mineral development. The disposal of these mineral resources is discretionary. "Please explain this discrepancy in statements.

The DEIS under 2.4.8 does not discuss in FW-GO-EMIN, FW-STD-EMIN, FW-DC- EMIN, or FW-GDL- EMIN the protection or guaranteed right to access these minerals. I request the Forest Service include language reflecting the rights protected under the 36 CFR 228 and the Mining Law of 1872 in the Standards and Guidelines section of the DEIS and also in the language of the new Forest Plan.

Its time to address the issues with goals expected to be met. Fuels management and retaining access are presently the most important chore for the agency to attain. Fire suppression for healthy forest and human health need to be primary consideration in all alternatives,,roads and infrastructure at the present levels need to be expanded to carry out goals. The forest service needs to consider meeting the goals of previous forest plans before moving ahead with yet another plan that is unattainable. Plan running on a 15/20 yr time frame are totally absurd when dealing with eco-systems that range 80/120 time frames..maybe tweaking past plans would eliminate this huge redundant beauocracy that presently stifles the agency. thank you for the opportunity to comment.