

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 6/6/2019 4:30:04 PM

First name: Dixie

Last name: Feller

Organization:

Title:

Comments: I recognize the need for multiple use of the forest. I support grazing allotments for historical land use. I realize water development for livestock also supply additional water that wild life can also utilize. I support wilderness areas for wildlife and recreational use. I do not see the need to open up more roadless areas for mechanized vehicles. These vehicles increase erosion which is detrimental for water shed management and water quality. We need areas where people can go and be away from the sound of motorized vehicles. Wildlife needs to be protected from harrassment by these vehicles. I have seen people on ATV'S chase animals. We need to protect the resources animal and plants ffrom careless people. We need to maintain the jee trails that we already have for recreational use. But erosion needs to be monitored. I approve lumbering as a method of improving wildlife habitat. But I realize there are areas too remote and too fragile for lumbering opetations. There is a call for lumbering to reduce wilf fires, but forest can benefit from fires. Nutrient cycle is supported by fires not lumbering. It has not been proven that lumbering reduces fires. Not all trees benefit by lumbering. Shrubs like huckleberries are fire cycle dependent. There is a need to monitor and protect plants and animals on the endangered species list. The forest needs to be managed for multiple use, but not areas should be managed for multiple use. There are areas that are too damaged or fragile to include all uses. I thank you for this opportunity to give my input.