

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 6/6/2019 12:56:11 AM

First name: Greg

Last name: Williams

Organization:

Title:

Comments: As I studied the executive plan and looked at the maps I formulated a question: Why does the addition of more wilderness acres have to be at the cost of less motorized recreational acres? Don't get me wrong I'm not asking for less wilderness acres I still enjoy them too much. And I don't need science to tell me that the only thing that works is shared use. I'd like to see motorized recreation acres stay the same, especially the winterized ones. Wilderness acres not decline, Timber be managed as a business not for profit but for the health of the forests, and nothing be put into some kind of bogus "study" status that effectively ties it up. And over a million acres for airports? Isn't that kind HIGH! I'd vote for Alternative E 1st and Alternative A second. That's my 2 cents.