Data Submitted (UTC 11): 6/5/2019 2:32:20 PM
First name: HOWARD
Last name: Stoneback
Organization:
Title:
Comments: AFTER READING OR ATTEMPTING TO READ THE PROPOSED FOREST PLAN REVISION , I SURRENDERED AT PG. 137. I CAME TO THE CONCLUSION THAT THERE IS NO ONE ON THIS EARTH WHO COULD READ AND BE QUALIFIED AS AN EXPERT ON THE MASS AND MYRIAD OF SUBJECTS INVOLVED. TOO BAD THAT THE PLAN SIMPLY FAILS BECAUSE IT TRULY DOESN'T ACCOMPLISH WHAT IT SHOULD HAVE BEEN INTENDED TO DO. THAT IS, HOW AND WHY IS THE PLAN DIFFERENT FROM THE EXISTING PLAN. THE CLOSEST IT COMES IS IN COMPARING CONIFEROUS TREE POPULATIONS AS TO PRESENT AND IDEAL GROWTH ! CONSEQUENTLY I MUST ASK HOW MANY PEOPLE, HOW MUCH TIME WAS SPENT, WHICH TRANSLATES INTO TAXPAYERS MONEY, IN PUTTING THIS THESIS TOGETHER ? THE ONLY PERSON I CAN IMAGINE WHO IS THE EXPERT HERE IS GOD AND HE HAS DONE A PRETTY GOOG JOB . I WOULD PREFER TO LEAVE HIM IN CHARGE. THE FINAL QUESTION I HAVE IS WHAT INDUSTRY WOULD BENEFIT THE MOST FROM THIS REVISION OF WHAT WE MUST GUESS IS BEING REVISED ? MY GUESS IS THE LUMBER INDUSTRY BASED ON THE VOLUMN OF WORDS DEDICATED TO FORESTATION AND DETAIL . INVOLVED