

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 6/4/2019 9:29:16 PM

First name: Michael

Last name: Howard

Organization:

Title:

Comments: Comment on Custer Gallatin Forest Plan DEIS

The Forest Service failed to provide a "No Action" Alternative.

The Forest Service failed to provide a "Preferred" Alternative.

Page 89 of the DEIS. Objectives (FW-OBJ-RT) 03. All alternatives (B,C,D,E) propose to close additional miles of roads which further limits access. No alternative was provided for comment which increased access opportunities. We should be increasing access not limiting all future access. This is a national forest not a national park.

Page 94 of DEIS. Objectives (FW-OBJ-REC) 01. All alternatives (B,C,D,E) propose to "Remove or relocate existing recreation facilities including dispersed sites. Dispersed sites are some of the few ways campers actually get to experience the wilderness. Developed Campsites do not provide the same access/experience to these primitive landscapes due to concrete pads, camp fees, camp rangers, proximity to campers.

The "specialist report" recommended expanding camping and recreation facilities yet No alternative was provided to the public which would have increased these recreation opportunities.

I request the Forest Service provide a "No Action" and a "Preferred" alternative. I request the Forest Service provide alternatives that increase road and trail access. I request the Forest Service provide alternatives that increase recreation and camping facilities.