

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 9/14/2018 7:21:02 PM

First name: Nicole

Last name: Emord

Organization:

Title:

Comments: As a long-time, regular visitor and season pass holder I have a number of concerns when it comes to the proposed renovations for Lee Canyon.

My largest concern is sprouted from that of safety and practicality. On the proposed expansion of the ski resort, there are additional runs, chairs and thus...traffic, however the base of the mountain where the runs meet up is set to remain the same.

Each year the snow sport adventures at Lee Canyon become less and less enjoyable as the crowd sizes grow and thus the pedestrian obstacles at the base of the runs becomes excessive - especially at the base of Chair 2. Despite the fact that the base of the run, The Strip, is marked as "slow" there is absolutely zero regulation of this and customers continue to race down to the very bottom of the hill and straight into line, add in the fact that the line for chair two is at the very base of the run and you have a slew of people standing around, and this continues to amount to less than favorable conditions.

I have taken part of snow sports at a multitude of resorts all around Nevada and California and I have never experienced the types of crowding issues as I have at Lee Canyon. Each year our routine becomes one of arrive at opening and leave before noon because the crowds on the base and in the lodge become a nightmare.

Last year, because of the heavy amounts of traffic at the base of the runs and the excessive crowds on the runs themselves, I was nearly hit multiple times by other customers and my husband was hit and got a concussion. Needless to say, we did not return for the remainder of the season. My biggest concern is that if the resort cannot safely accommodate the runs, chairs and crowds that they receive now, how on earth are they going to be able to accommodate more chairs, runs and ultimately more people if the base of the mountain is remaining the same size.

My next concern is practicality. A larger attraction of additional lifts, ski runs and even potentially a bit of a lodge remodel does not factor in that parking runs to the overflow lots as it is. So what measures would be taken to accommodate parking for the increase in customers?

Another traffic and crowd concern I have is this. Each year we see news stories of the amount of people that retreat to the mountain in the winter and visit Lee Canyon and the meadow just below it. In these news coverages, and from my personal experience, we see hour delays from the abundance of traffic on the mountain, people parking on the street, and people walking up to the Lee Canyon resort.... what steps will be taken to remedy the already poor traffic and crowding situation in order to encourage even more people to visit?

With the above concern, lets also factor in the environmental impact. More crowds mean more trash, and one thing we have definitely seen over the years is that the increase in people means more of the forest is left with trash - not just at the meadows but in the upper and overflow parking lot for the resort as well. Will there be additional trash receptacles and pick up schedules to accommodate the increase in trash and debris?

As an avid hiker at Mt. Charleston during the summer I am very hesitant to sign off on anything that potentially destroys the Bristlecone trail. Leveling the beauty of the natural wildlife here would be devastating and considering the rarity of smaller ecosystems amongst the bristlecone pines and aspen tress I'd be heartbroken if it affected the natural wildlife that passes through those parts.

With the proposed summer coaster, my concern, in addition to traffic and necessary forest removal for parts of

the mountain is the threat of noise pollution. I've participated in mountain resort's summer coasters - however the ones I have been to are far beyond the distances that Lee Canyon can provide to local residents and visitors of the resort's lodge. They also were in locations that were fully on the grid. Knowing full well that the Lee Canyon Resort is mainly self-sufficient and off the grid, what resources would have to be run and updated to get the resort on the grid or provide enough power options to fully support a coaster, additional lifts in the winter and more crowds?

While Lee Canyon resort is a small resort option for a city the size of Las Vegas, on a mountain this small it is essential to protect the natural beauty and wildlife that is present, and many of the proposed modifications and expansion would nullify the idea of a mountain retreat for the sheer purpose of profit and modernization - an unfortunate, yet preventable occurrence.

While I love resorts that offer a variety of summer activities and more ski runs would be nice, because of all the above mentioned concerns I am strongly oppose to the proposed expansion proposals and urge that these not be approved.