

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 6/2/2018 3:04:01 PM

First name: Wanda

Last name: Boyd

Organization:

Title:

Comments: Greetings,

My husband and I are grazing permittees on the Uncompahgre National Forest and would like to submit our comments on the Forest Plan Revision. I liked that it considers Multiple Use as a major factor. We have always believed the public land should be enjoyed by everyone. And I liked that you considered the many commodity uses and community connections the forests have in our area here. And the need for planning for change is important and I think you did cover that well. The plan does speak of the diversity and unique qualities of the GMUG forests which I liked. We know there is a variety of landscapes, terrain, elevations and moisture content. The Uncompahgre Plateau is very different than the Grand Mesa for example. And the public use varies greatly with the different landscapes and the changing seasons. As I read through the scoping material, I was feeling it was recognizing and managing for diversity and managing more for site specific issues. But Part III: Management Area Framework, has 3 statements that say it all will be managed the same. I did not like that. I had been thinking the plan was sounding good and you had done a good job at covering the changing uses, and possible future uses, but those statements didn't seem to fit with what I thought I was understanding about the plan. Thank you to all who have worked at getting to this point. And thank you for your the consideration of our comments.

Sincerely,

Wanda and Larry Boyd