

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 1/3/2018 12:00:00 AM

First name: Anonymous

Last name: Anonymous

Organization:

Title:

Comments: Government Regulation agencies should be courageous in goal to reduce the burdens on the American People, by transparency, insist on truth, objectivity, and demand sound science from Environmentalist (political) activists when making rules. Truth in science doesn't depend on human consensus or political correctness. The fact that the majority of journalists, elite and pundits bray like sheep and try public shaming is meaningless. Galileo, another "social dinosaur," said "the crowd of fools who know nothing is infinite skepticism is the first step towards truth. AGENCY SHOULD USE CAUTION IN DEVELOPING REGULATIONS DESIGNED BY ACTIVISTS TO PUT AMERICAN BUSINESS, FARMERS, INDUSTRIAL, FACTORY, OIL, GAS, RETAIL OUT OF BUSINESS. The media hysteria on GLOBAL WARMING has been generated by ENVIRONMENTAL ACTIVIST, carried into the street by sheep carrying signs, echoed by leaders and news, who don't understand the provisional and uncertain nature of scientific knowledge. Science changes. EXAMPLE : For years we were told that drinking coffee was bad for our health and would increase our risk for heart disease. But more recent studies have shown that not only is coffee safe for our hearts, it can decrease the risk of liver cancer and is chock full of healthy antioxidants. EXAMPLE: Article by an economist temperatures are now higher than at any time in the past 12,000 years. The fact that the thermometer wasn't invented until the year 1714 ought to give us pause when evaluating this remarkable claim. Reconstructions of past temperatures are not measurements, but estimates. These estimates are based on innumerable interpretations and uncertain assumptions, all invisible to someone who only reads the headline. Better studies -- completely ignored by the major media -- have shown that late-twentieth-century temperatures are not anomalous or unusually warm. EXAMPLE OF SHAMING: Consensus" is another word for clique science. The good people are true believers, the bad people exhibit a "will to disbelieve." Editors used to salute healthy skepticism. Now some are global-warming Torquemada. EXAMPLE : A major researcher working in the area of climate change said, the factual record needed to be altered so that people would become alarmed over global warming. He said, "We have to get rid of the Medieval Warm Period." How CORRUPT AND IDEOLOGICALLY driven current climate research can be. USE OF LOGIC meteorologists can't predict what the temperature will be in 30 days. How is it that we are supposed to believe that they can reliably forecast what the temperature will be in 50 years? They can't, because Earth's climate system is complex and poorly understood. USE OF LOGIC Copenhagen Consensus, a group of scientists and economists that looks at the best way to spend a hypothetical \$50 billion to benefit mankind, rated fighting global warming as a "bad" use of money. USE OF LOGIC GLOBAL WARMING is a religion, not science. That's why acolytes in the media attack global-warming critics, not with scientific arguments, but for their apostasy. One of the common claims in support of the reality and seriousness of global warming is that we have had a large portion of record breaking warm years during the last decade or so. This is not a claim used by the IPCC, and its presence in any discussion is a rather clear piece of evidence of the intent TO DECEIVE, especially when the claim is made by a scientist. As noted by Solow and Broadus (1989) and Bassett (1992), this is an inevitable occurrence when one has a single record breaker in a time series characterized by inter-annual variability, inter-decadal variability and an underlying trend or longer period variability. Solow and Broadus show the clustered nature of record breakers. For those who can follow some mathematics, the situation is easily synthesized. where the first term corresponds to interannual variability, the second term to interdecadal variability, and third to longer term trends or variability. Not surprisingly, record breakers cluster in exactly the manner found by Solow and Broadus (1989) in the observed temperature record. The occurrence of such record breakers contributes NO additional information. Our prime concern remains with the determination of trend and the identification of such trends with emissions of carbon dioxide, and this remains a difficult and contested issue as the IPCC freely acknowledges. KNOWN AS SCIENTIFIC WAFFLING There has been no effect on countries from any current change, Efforts by activists to establish such a link "is why NO ONE can trust the Green activists. More Transparencies in Agencies with Truth in Settlements Act of 2015 and Miscellaneous Receipts Act . RESCIND use of Clean Development Mechanism (CDM) ,