

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 12/6/2017 2:57:27 AM

First name: Richard

Last name: Winter

Organization:

Title:

Comments: With an overwhelming percentage of all back country lands closed to any kind of motorized recreation, it is incredibly important to preserve the remaining access to these areas that currently exist to this minority user group.

Many of the trails that are currently being enjoyed a by a wide cross section of user groups were created legally by motorized users. To exclude the motorized user group is more of an emotional argument than a factual argument.

The non motorized users, as stated earlier, have access to practically any public area that they might decide to choose. Their complaints of noise and pollution in regards to the group that created many of the trails rings hollow, sounding much like the new home buyer that is upset that there is an airport next door to the house that they just bought. Very much a "not in my back yard" knee jerk reaction.

Basically, if a user doesn't like sharing the trails with motorized user groups, then they are free go somewhere else. They have pretty much the entire state to choose from. The motorized user group does not have anywhere near the freedom to explore the back country that the non-motorized user has. To assume that it would be acceptable to further reduce the small amount of access left to the motorized user group is offensive, biased, and hints of discrimination against that particular user group.