

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 11/20/2017 12:04:55 AM

First name: Janice

Last name: Shepherd

Organization:

Title:

Comments: Small typos or missing info

Hi,

Per an earlier note, my aim in mentioning these small typos is helping to achieve high quality documents that reflect well on the many experts' hours that have gone into developing these assessment documents.

In some cases I'm suggesting a fix, but the fix may not reflect the intent of the authors so it may not be the right fix.

Some of the assessments I've read more carefully and fully than others because of their interest to me. I hope these suggested corrections will not be construed in anyway as a criticism of the documents.

Janice Shepherd

I just noticed in the Recreation assessment that on page 49 (e-page 57) there are two directives to the document writer "add units" and "add year" as in:

"(add units) in (add year) per the requirements at 36 CFR 212.56"

I know I've left similar "notes" to myself when writing something technical. Might I suggest the use of some other easily searchable symbol like "???" with the "add" directive ... searching for "add" turns up valid uses like "additional". Or even putting the directive in angle brackets as in "<add units>" would make these little editor notes easier to find and remove.

I've read and reread several times the last sentence in Range Management assessment on page 11 (e-page 15) and I'm struggling to understand it ... so I'm wondering if the "80,005" is supposed to be "2005" and wonder if some other text is missing?

In the range management assessment on page 17 (e-page 21) "to ensure Ensure all water" -> "to ensure all water" (2nd bullet).

In the watershed assessment on page 1 (first paragraph), "that are depend on water" might have been intended to be "that depend on water" or "that are dependent on water"

This same error also occurs on page 10 where the first 3 sentences of this paragraph are repeated (first paragraph under "Water Resources")

In the watershed assessment on page 20, 3rd paragraph "with about a quarter that water being" -> "with about a quarter of that water being"

In the watershed assessment on page 23, 2nd paragraph under "Sensitive soils" Should "Compaction may restrict plan rooting" be instead "Compaction may restrict plant rooting"?

In the watershed assessment on page 23, last line - word(s) seem to be missing from the end of the sentence "... and the historical climate for the." ... "for the area." perhaps? or "for the plan area."?

In the cultural resource assessment on page 3 (e-page 7) the first paragraph of Chapter 2 appears to have a blank line in the middle of it (thus splitting it into two paragraphs) just after "Colorado River Basin"

In the cultural resource assessment on page 6, 2nd paragraph, "in the 1930 the CCC" -> "in the 1930s the CCC"

In the cultural resource assessment on page 6, last paragraph "Sneffles" -> "Sneffels"

In the cultural resource assessment on page 25 the last reference seems to have some unrelated text starting with "Insert your references here." and continuing to the end of the page? Also on page 25 in the references should the reference for the 2017 Sustainability document start with "National Park Service, 2017. Sustainability" instead of starting with just 2017? (but perhaps that is implied by the preceding reference). This would be consistent with the preceding reference.

In the cultural resource assessment on page 24 the Colorado Preservation Inc reference should include "(CPI)" as "(CPI 2017)" is used in at least two spots in the text of the assessment (in the same way that DOI 1990 is used.)

In the cultural resource assessment on page 8 in the 3rd paragraph, it is not clear why there is a dash in "Within the plan area, -Ponderosa"

In the cultural assessment on page 8 in the last paragraph on the Silesca Cabin "The site was recently rehabilitation" should that be "The site was recently rehabilitated"?

In the cultural assessment on page 9, first paragraph, I think that "T-1-11 siding" should be "T1-11 siding"

In the cultural assessment on page 14 in Table 3, in the line for "5OR0759" shouldn't "5sm3805, 50r1377" be "5SM3805, 5OR1377" (note in the 2nd reference I've changed the zero to an oh after the 5.) On the same line "Also 5or.759" was that supposed to be a number instead of the period? Is 5OR0759 the same as 5OR.759?

In the cultural assessment on page 16 in the paragraph "Special Interest Areas" last sentence - the entire sentence is in italics instead of just the name of the other assessment. Was that intended?

In the cultural assessment on page 16 in the 1st paragraph under "Resource Conditions" the text has "input legacy data since into the database," is that a spurious "since"?

In the cultural assessment on page 17 in the list of museums

"28 Cu. Ft." this is the only one in the list with both the C and the F in capitals. Should they all have Cu. Ft. or cu. ft.?

"Science. Denver." -> "Science, Denver." (period to a comma instead for consistency)

In the cultural assessment on page 18 in the first paragraph of "Information Gaps"
"in order to for resource managers" -> "in order for resource managers"?

In the cultural assessment on page 20, in the 3rd paragraph, a quote is started but never ended ' "non-material ' and the reference at the end of the sentence "MA 2005" doesn't seem to be in the style of other references in the text where author's last name is given.