

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 9/7/2017 11:00:00 AM

First name: Georgianne

Last name: Stinnett

Organization:

Title:

Comments: From: Georgianne Stinnett

To: FS-objections-chief

Subject: Atlantic Coast Pipeline Decision Objection

Date: Wednesday, September 06, 2017 12:00:41 AM

Objection to Atlantic Coast Pipeline in George Washington and Jefferson National Forests

Objector: Georgianne Stinnett, 1226 Stanhope Avenue, Richmond, VA 23227

Signature:

<!--[if !vml]--><!--[endif]-->

Project objected: Atlantic Coast Pipeline

Responsible Official and Forests effected: Donald Trump has put this project on his list of top

infrastructure projects and will defile the George Washington and Jefferson National Forests. Region

8 and 9 foresters are responsible, also.

Issues and aspects of project to which objection applies: No special use permit should be granted.

No modifications should be made to existing standards related to water protection and soil stability

should be made. The restrictions on the land are there to insure its preservation for all citizens. These

protections should not be scrapped just to turn a profit for a greedy corporation. Our Appalachian

Trail and Blue Ridge Parkway are priceless and the Forrest Service would be shirking its

responsibility to keep them safe by allowing the ACP anywhere near them.

Violates law, regulations or policy: The contractor whose report was used to approve the pipeline

works for Dominion! This is a blatant conflict of interest I worked for years as an environmental

consultant of the EPA and am well aware of how political influence can interfere with study results.

The companies I worked for did not work for parties on both sides of any contentious issues as it was

unethical. Any and all construction of the pipeline must be stopped until all submitted concerns have

been addressed.

The Forrest service is supposed to manage forests for everyone. Insatiable corporate greed is no basis to compromise our natural resources. The proposed horizontal directional drilling and subsequent threats to our water resources as karst topography is shattered are given no significant weight in the analysis. Impacts of forest fragmentation and the broad application of pesticides are not considered as they threaten struggling species. Runoff and sedimentation are not considered adequately. I submitted comments to the FERC regarding the ACP and also spoke at the public comment meeting held in Farmville,VA. The problems with the Forest service acceptance of the ACP are echoed and expounded upon in those comments.