

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 7/5/2016 2:38:50 AM

First name: Dana

Last name: DePaulo

Organization:

Title:

Comments: Colville National Forest Revision Plan

I think the plan on file currently serves the public sufficiently at this time. If there are any revisions needed, it would be to allow more ATV access and to be able to access use of the natural resources by the timber and cattle industries. We should be able to use more of the funding resources for education provided by timber sales as it was originally intended and allow more cattle grazing to underlyingly assist in preventing such complex fires as we have experienced the past few years. I am totally against closing the forests off and only allowing viewing access, this serves no purpose to spend more money to go back into the forest areas and "take out" roads, trails and other access areas as we are currently experiencing financial difficulties in many areas in our state budget.

This new revision plan is very difficult to accept and understand why those who have written this proposed plan intend on being so restrictive when many of these "writers" claim to be environmentalists, yet they are the ones living in the midst of these forests (as many of us do) in which they are attempting to close off to the general public.

Sincerely,

Concerned citizen and respective adventurer of our Colville National Forest~

Dana De Paulo

Marcus, WA