

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 7/5/2016 4:17:08 AM

First name: Anon

Last name: dougrumbach@yahoo.com

Organization:

Title:

Comments: Colville National Forest Revision Plan

The following are my comments in regards to the Colville National Forest Revision Plan:

1.

My main concern with the Plan is the affect of the Forest Revision Plan on the economics of the counties that adjoin the Colville National Forest. The Revision Plan doesn't take into account how it will affect counties that rely on natural resource for jobs. There needs to be more logging, thinning, and salvaging of timber. This would create more jobs and revenue for each county. By improving the management of our natural forest, cattlemen would benefit from more available grasses for grazing and at the same time creating a healthier forest. The grazing restrictions in the purposed Plans are unattainable for the cattlemen.

2.

The Plan should allow for more public use of the Colville National Forest by keeping roads open and accessible to the general public.

3.

There should be no Wilderness. There is no economic value in placing land into Wilderness status.

All of this would contribute to creating stronger and more prosperous communities.

The history in these counties has shown over time that they have all relied on the natural resources the national forest provides.

In regards,

Douglas Grumbach
361 Lone Ranch Creek Rd.
Danville, WA 99121

(509) 779-4977

Sent from Windows Mail