

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 7/6/2016 12:37:00 AM

First name: Justin

Last name: Hedrick

Organization:

Title:

Comments: This plan has many fatal flaws that will put an end to grazing and will take huge amounts of land out of production for timber harvests. We can not stand having any wilderness on this forest. It will end the grazing on the allotments where wilderness overlays. Also it will eliminate any chance of having logging operations within the boundaries of the wilderness areas. This will create a great economic impact on these communities. We also Cannot stand for there being an eight inch stubble hight. This will also end all grazing on the forest. We are at a four inch now and we are having an improvement more every year. One thing I do like is that the eastside screens are going away in this new plan. We need to get more production on the Colville forest and the way this plan reads is that you are going to take all production off the forest. This plans is also way to vague. Also this plan is saying it is going to manage for a condition, which is wrong we should be managing for a product. This plan also goes against the mission statement for the forest service. If you are going to use this plan you are going to take away millions of dollars from these communities and many will be forced to move away. We are much better off with the no action(1988 plan), alternative. At least with the 1988 plan we can still strive to use and enjoy our multi purpose forest.