

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 6/23/2016 9:06:19 PM

First name: Richard

Last name: McCollum

Organization:

Title:

Comments: Comments on the forest service proposed plans:

1. It is not necessary to have more proposed or designed wilderness area as there is an abundance all ready designated and any more eliminates the ability of the public to enjoy nature including handicapped individuals.

2. We ride 4 wheelers and off road vehicles and find that Colville Nationsal forest has trails, but they are not connected. If the forest service roads were opened to ATV's, it would enable riders to move from one trail to the next just as they do in Idaho and Montana.

3. Some counties have opened up roads to ATV's all ready, but with different rules in different areas, it makes trying to ride legally almost impossible.

4. We live in Spokane but due to forest service policy in WA regarding ATV's, we ride in Idaho, Montana, and Nevada. This effects the economy of WA as it takes dollars out of the area. Unless WA changes rules for riding ATV's we will continue to go out of state because we are responsible riders and want to ride legally, however, we want to be able to ride more than a 2 mile trail without have to load the equipment on trailers to move to another trail.

To recap our opinions - no more wilderness - allow access to ATV's on forest service roads - make riding rules same in all areas to avoid confusion.

Thank you for considering our opinions.

Richard and Darlene McCollum