

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 6/1/2016 7:00:00 AM

First name: Kelly

Last name: Tacoma

Organization:

Title:

Comments: If we were a Constitutional County, as a legal subdivision within the State of Washington according to Article XI of the Washington Constitution, wouldn't we lawfully have full jurisdiction of the whole area and extent of our county?

If we were a constitutionally abiding County, wouldn't the State rightfully sanction our right of full legal jurisdiction of our county and not allow it to be infringed?

Since a national forest is, by definition, a federal construct within our county's borders, shouldn't the federal government -- which is a limited entity with clearly enumerated powers, including minimal land- holdings -- be required to be subject to, and fully coordinate with, the States and specifically the counties affected by its occupation of portions of that county?

How is it, then, that the federal agency called the National Forest Service, considers it irrelevant in real practice to subordinate it's authority to that of the State, and the State's legal subdivisions, namely, the counties?